

FUZZY SIGNED MEASURE

JUN TANAKA

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that one could obtain the Caratheodory Extension Theorem on fuzzy measurable space. Now a fuzzy measure is defined on σ -algebras. As one already saw, Fuzzy measure is a classical measure, provided that fuzzy sets are restricted to classical sets. As the classical measure theory goes, we will define a fuzzy signed measure on σ -algebras, as well as positive and negative sets. Herein, we will show that the Fuzzy Hahn Decomposition Theorem, which is a generalization of the classical Hahn Decomposition Theorem, decompose any space X into a positive set A and a negative set B such that $A+B=X$ and the signed measure of $A \wedge B$ is 0.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we shall briefly review the well know facts about lattice theory (e.g. Birkhoff [1], Iwamura), propose an extension lattice, and investigate its properties. Later in this section, we shall review Caratheodory Extension Theorem on fuzzy measurable sets. $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ or simply L , under closed operations $\wedge \vee$, is called a lattice. If it satisfies in addition to the distributive law is called a lattice. For two lattices L and L' , a bijection from L to L' , which preserves lattice operations are called a lattice isomorphism, or simply an isomorphism. If there is an isomorphism from L to L' , then L is called lattice-isomorphic with L' , and we write $L \cong L'$. We write $x \leq y$ if $x \wedge y = x$ or, equivalently, if $x \wedge y = y$. L is called complete, if any subset A of L includes the supremum $\vee A$, and infimum $\wedge A$, with respect to the above order. A complete lattice L includes the maximum and minimum elements, which are denoted by I and O , or 1 and 0 , respectively [1].

Definition 1. Unless otherwise stated, X is a space and $\mu_{\square}$ is a membership function of any fuzzy set $\square$. If a family σ of membership functions on X satisfies the following conditions, then it is called fuzzy σ -algebra;

- (1) $\forall \alpha \in [0,1]$, α is constant; $\alpha \in \sigma$.
- (2) $\forall \mu \in \sigma$, $1-\mu \in \sigma$.
- (3) if $(\mu_n)_{n \in N} \in \sigma^N$, then $\sup \mu_n \in \sigma$.

Definition 2. If $m : \sigma \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying the following properties, then m is called a fuzzy measure.

- (1) $m(\emptyset) = m(0) = 0$.
- (2) $\forall \mu, \eta \in \sigma$ s.t. $m(\mu), m(\eta) \geq 0 : \mu \leq \eta \Rightarrow m(\mu) \leq m(\eta)$.
- (3) $\forall \mu, \eta \in \sigma : m(\mu \vee \eta) + m(\mu \wedge \eta) = m(\mu) + m(\eta)$.

Date: January, 10, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 28A12, 28E10.

Key words and phrases. fuzzy measure, signed measure, Hahn decomposition, fuzzy sets.

(4) $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \sigma^N$ such that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_n \leq \cdots : \sup \mu_n = \mu \Rightarrow m(\mu) = \lim m(\mu_n)$.

Definition 3. By an outer fuzzy measure m^* , we mean an extended real-value set function defined on $[0,1]^X$, having the following properties:

- (1) $m^*(0) = 0$
- (2) $m^*(\mu) \leq m^*(\eta)$ for $\mu \leq \eta$
- (3) $m^*(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_i}) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(\mu_{E_i})$.

Example 1. Suppose

$$m^*(\mu_E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \mu_E = 0 \\ 1 & \mu_E \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then m^* is an outer fuzzy measure which is not fuzzy measure on $[0,1]^X$, if X has at least two points.

proposition 1. Let F be a class of fuzzy subsets of X containing empty set such that for every $\mu_A \leq \mu_X$, there exists a sequence $(\mu_{B_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\mu_{B_n} \in F$ such that $\mu_A \leq \sup(\mu_{B_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Let τ be an extended real-valued function on F such that $\tau(0) = 0$ and $\tau(\mu_A) \geq 0$ for $\mu_A \in F$. Then m^* defined on $[0,1]^X$ by $m^*(\mu_A) = \inf\{\tau(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{B_n}) : \mu_{B_n} \in F \text{ s.t. } \mu_A \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{B_n}\}$ is a outer fuzzy measure.

Proof. Clearly, $m^*(\emptyset) = 0$. Secondly, if $\mu_{A_1} \leq \mu_{A_2}$ and $\mu_{A_2} \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{B_n}$, then $\mu_{A_1} \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{B_n}$. Thus $m^*(\mu_{A_1}) \leq m^*(\mu_{A_2})$.

Finally, let $\mu_{E_n} \leq \mu_X$ for each natural number n . Then $m^*(\mu_{E_n}) = \infty$ for some n , $m^*(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_n}) \leq \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} m^*(\mu_{E_n})$. □

The following theorem is an extension of the above proposition.

Theorem 2. The class B of m^* -fuzzy measurable sets is a σ -algebra. Also $\overline{m}$, the restriction of m^* to B , is a measure.

Theorem 3. Let m be a fuzzy measure on a σ -algebra $\sigma \subseteq [0,1]^X$.

Suppose for $\mu_E \leq \mu_X$

$$m^*(\mu_E) = \inf\{m(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_n}) : \mu_{E_n} \in \sigma \text{ s.t. } \mu_E \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_{E_n}\}$$

Then the following properties hold:

- (i) m^* is an outer fuzzy measure.
- (ii) $\mu_E \in \sigma$ implies $m(\mu_E) = m^*(\mu_E)$
- (iii) $\mu_E \in \sigma$ implies μ_E is m^* -fuzzy measurable.
- (iv) The restriction $\overline{m}$ of m^* to the m^* -fuzzy measurable sets is an extension of m to a fuzzy measure on a fuzzy σ -algebra containing σ .
- (v) If m is fuzzy σ -finite, then $\overline{m}$ is the only fuzzy measure (on the smallest fuzzy σ -algebra containing σ) that is an extension of m .

3. FUZZY SIGNED MEASURE

Let m_1 and m_2 be fuzzy measures defined on the same σ -algebra σ . If one of them is finite, the set function $m(\mu_E) = m_1(\mu_E) - m_2(\mu_E)$, $\mu_E \in \sigma$ is well defined and countably additive on σ . However, it is not necessarily nonnegative; it is called a signed measure.

Definition 4. By a fuzzy signed measure on the fuzzy measurable space (X, σ) we mean $\nu : \sigma \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ or $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, satisfying the following property:

- (1) $\nu(\emptyset) = \nu(0) = 0$.
- (2) $\forall \mu, \eta \in \sigma$ s.t. $\nu(\mu), \nu(\eta) \geq 0 : \mu \leq \eta \Rightarrow \nu(\mu) \leq \nu(\eta)$.
 $\forall \mu, \eta \in \sigma$ s.t. $\nu(\mu), \nu(\eta) \leq 0 : \mu \leq \eta \Rightarrow \nu(\eta) \leq \nu(\mu)$.
- (3) $\forall \mu, \eta \in \sigma : \nu(\mu \vee \eta) + \nu(\mu \wedge \eta) = \nu(\mu) + \nu(\eta)$.
- (4) $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \sigma^N$ such that $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \leq \dots : \sup \mu_n = \mu \Rightarrow \nu(\mu) = \lim \nu(\mu_n)$.

This is meant in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite, the series on the right-hand side is convergent, and if the left-hand side is $\pm\infty$, then the series on the right-hand side diverges accordingly.

Remark 1. The Fuzzy signed measure is a fuzzy measure when it takes only positive value. Thus, the fuzzy signed measure is a generalization of fuzzy measure.

Definition 5. A is a positive fuzzy set if for any fuzzy measurable set E in A, $\nu(\mu_E) \geq 0$. Similarly, B is a negative fuzzy set if for any fuzzy measurable set E in B, $\nu(\mu_E) \leq 0$.

Lemma 1. Every fuzzy subset of a positive fuzzy set is a positive fuzzy set and any countable union of positive fuzzy sets is a positive fuzzy set.

Proof. The first claim is clear. Before we show the second claim, we need to show that every union of positive sets is a positive set. Let A, B be fuzzy positive sets and $E \leq A \vee B$ be a fuzzy measurable set. By (2) in Definition 4, $0 \leq \nu(\mu_B \wedge \mu_E) - \nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_B \wedge \mu_E)$. By (3), $\nu(\mu_E) \geq 0$. Now by induction, every finite union of fuzzy positive sets is a positive fuzzy set. Let A_n be a positive fuzzy set for all n and $E \leq \vee A_n$ be a fuzzy measurable set. Then $\mu_{E_m} := \mu_E \wedge \vee_{n=1}^m \mu_{A_n} = \vee_{n=1}^m \mu_E \wedge \mu_{A_n}$. Then E_m is a fuzzy measurable set and a positive fuzzy set. In particular, $\mu_{E_m} \leq \mu_{E_{m+1}}$ for all n and $\mu_E = \vee_{n=1}^\infty \mu_{E_m}$. Thus $0 \leq \lim \nu(\mu_{E_m}) = \nu(\mu_E)$. Therefore $\vee A_n$ is a positive set. $\square$

Lemma 2. Let E be a fuzzy measurable set such that $0 < \nu(\mu_E) < \infty$. Then there is a positive fuzzy set $A \leq E$ with $\nu(\mu_A) > 0$.

Proof. If E is a positive fuzzy set, we take $A=E$. Otherwise, E contains a set of negative measure. Let n_1 be the smallest positive integer such that there is a measurable set $E_1 \subset E$ with $\nu(\mu_{E_1}) < -\frac{1}{n_1}$. Proceeding inductively, if $E \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j^C$ is not already a positive set, let n_k be the smallest positive integer for which there is a fuzzy measurable set E_k such that $E_k \leq E \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j^C$ and $\nu(\mu_{E_k}) < -\frac{1}{n_k}$.

Let $A = (\vee E_k)^C$.

Then $\nu(\mu_E) = \nu(\mu_E \wedge \mu_A) + \nu(\mu_E \wedge \vee \mu_{E_k}) = \nu(\mu_E \wedge \mu_A) + \nu(\vee \mu_{E_k})$. Since $\nu(\mu_E)$ is finite, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(\vee^n \mu_{E_k})$ is finite and $\nu(\vee \mu_{E_k}) \leq 0$. Since $\nu(\mu_E) > 0$ and $\nu(\vee \mu_{E_k}) \leq 0$, $\nu(\mu_E \wedge \mu_A) > 0$.

We will show that A is a positive set. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\frac{1}{n_k} \rightarrow 0$, we may choose k such that $-\frac{1}{n_k-1}$, which is greater than $-\epsilon$. Thus A contains no fuzzy measurable sets of measure less than $-\epsilon$. Since ϵ was arbitrary positive number, it follows that A can contain no sets of negative measure and so must be a positive fuzzy set. $\square$

4. FUZZY HAHN DECOMPOSITION

Without loss of generality, let's omit $+\infty$ value of ν . Let $\lambda = \sup\{\nu(\mu_A) : A \text{ is a fuzzy positive set}\}$.

Then $\lambda \geq 0$ since $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$.

Let A_i be a sequence of positive fuzzy sets such that $\lambda = \lim \nu(\mu_{A_i})$ and $\mu_A = \bigvee \mu_{A_i}$. By Theorem 1, A is a positive fuzzy set and $\lambda \geq \nu(\mu_A)$.

$\bigvee^n \mu_{A_i} \leq \mu_A$ for any n implies $\nu(\bigvee^n \mu_{A_i}) \geq 0$ for any n . Thus $\lambda = \lim \nu(\mu_{A_i}) = \nu(\mu_A) = 0$.

Let $E \leq A^C$ be a positive set. Then $\nu(\mu_E) \geq 0$ and $A \vee E$ is a positive fuzzy set. Thus $\lambda \geq \nu(\mu_A \vee \mu_E) = \nu(\mu_A) + \nu(\mu_E) - \nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_E) = \lambda + \nu(\mu_E) - \nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_E)$. Thus $\nu(\mu_E) = \nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_E)$. $\nu(\mu_E) = 0$ since $\mu_A \wedge \mu_E \leq \mu_A \wedge \mu_A^C$ and $\nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_A^C) = 0$.

Thus, A^C contains no fuzzy positive subsets of positive measure and hence no subsets of positive measure by Lemma 2. Consequently, A^C is a negative fuzzy set.

5. CONCLUSION

Let X be a space. Then by the previous theorem, we find such a positive fuzzy set A and a negative fuzzy set $B (= A^C)$. By the fuzzy measurability of ν , $\nu(\mu_A \wedge \mu_A^C) = 0$. $A + A^C = X$ in the sense that $\mu_A + \mu_{A^C} = 1$. These characteristics provides $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ in the classical set sense.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory 3rd ed., AMS Colloquim Publications, Providence, RI, 1967
2. T. Iwamura, Sokuran, Kyoritu Shuppan, Tokyo, 1966.
3. Z. Wang G.J. Klir, Fuzzy Measure Theory, Springer, 1993.
4. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8 (1965), 338-353
5. L.A. Zadeh, Calculus of Fuzzy restrictions, Fuzzy Sets and their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes, L.A. Zadeh et al., eds., Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 1-39.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, USA

E-mail address: juntanaka@math.ucr.edu, yonigeninnin@gmail.com, junextension@hotmail.com